

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, et al., 11 NO. CIV. S-88-1658 LKK Plaintiffs, 12 ORDER V. 13 KIRK C. RODGERS, etc., et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 A Status Conference was held in the above-captioned case on May 23, 2005. KATE POOLE, HAL CANDEE and FRED ALTSHULER 19 appeared as counsel for plaintiffs; MARIA IIZUKA, DAVID 20 GLAZIER, JASON COHEN and CHRIS KEIFER appeared as counsel for 21 the federal defendants; JENNIFER BUCKMAN, JIM THOMPSON and GREG 22 WILKINSON appeared as counsel for the Friant defendants; JOHN 23 RUBIN appeared as counsel for the intervenors. 2.4 At that conference, the parties discussed how to resolve 25 the failure of the Federal and Friant defendants to include

1

discussion of the remedies issues in their most recent

Case 2:88-cv-01658-JAM-GGH Document 1134 Filed 06/16/05 Page 2 of 3

consolidated brief on the remaining claims <u>not</u> relating to the first cause of action.¹ As a result of that discussion, the court hereby makes the following ORDERS:

1. The Federal and Friant defendants are directed to file with the court and serve on all parties, a letter, by June 6, 2005 at 4:00 p.m., which contains a comprehensive list of where, in the briefs they have previously filed, the court can find their discussion of the appropriate remedy should it find violations under the Endangered Species Act.² This letter is not to contain any additional arguments but should be a simple list of where the court can find the already-submitted sections relevant to the remedies issues on the above-cited claim.

13 ////

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 | ////

15 ////

16 ////

17 ////

18 ////

19 ////

20 ////

21

22

23

24

25

26

The court had previously ordered the parties to brief the remedies for these claims in their consolidated briefs which were filed on May 9, 2004. See April 18, 2005 Order at \P 3.

Because the Federal and Friant defendants believe their briefing on the appropriate remedy for an Endangered Species Act violation applies equally to the National Environmental Policy Act and Reclamation Act claims, the parties agreed that the Federal and Friant defendants did not need additional time to brief those issues separately.

2. The sections cited in the above-mentioned letter will constitute briefing by these parties on the remedies for <u>all</u> the remaining claims <u>not</u> relating to the first cause of action, this includes claims under the Endangered Species Act as well as the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Reclamation Act.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 24, 2005.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SENIOR JUDGE